

Guillen, Dora

From: cbinla <chris1brisk@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 7:28 AM
To: COB_Response
Cc: Sarmiento, Vicente; anunez@santa-ana.org; Valerie Amezcua
Subject: Item #S94W Proposed Re-entry Center - North Sana Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, The Honorable Doug Chaffee, Chair, The Honorable Katrina Foley, Vice Chair, The Honorable Vicente Sarmiento, The Honorable Janet Nguyen, and The Honorable Donald P. Wagner,

I am a long time resident of Santa Ana and Vice President of the Morrison Park Neighborhood Association, Both my wife, Karen, and I were disappointed to learn of the proposed Coordinated Reentry Center planned at 3030 Hesperian Way in the City of Santa Ana, along with other MPNA board members. The residents of the City, including the Mayor and City Manager had no advanced notice of the plans for the reentry center. It is even more disturbing to learn that the project has been in the works for many years with project approval in 2019..

We understand that programs like the proposed offer many benefits, a better location for this center is needed.

Santa Ana already has two shelters, works with OC Care to provide mobile medical care to the unhoused, has sober living facilities and a dedicated Quality of Life Team in addition to other services. As you know, Santa Ana also has the Federal Courthouse and Jail which bring additional challenges to the City. The concern about the impact this facility will have on our City services is valid. as well as the safety of our neighborhoods.

The City of Santa Ana has done more than its share and should not be expected to take on more when other Cities have not participated to the same extent.

Both my wife and I strongly urge that the funding on this project be cancelled or at minimum, delayed and that alternative locations be identified.

Respectfully,

Chris and Karen Briskorn
1138 W. Park Lane
Santa Ana, CA 92706

Guillen, Dora

From: Paul Roberts <gproberts@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 8:13 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Re-Entry Facility in Santa Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Subject: Opposition to Proposed Re-Entry Facility in Santa Ana

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing as a resident of Santa Ana for more than 25 years to express my **strong opposition to the proposed re-entry program facility in our city.**

Santa Ana has long carried a disproportionate share of county-run facilities, including re-entry programs, shelters, and correctional services. Our community has consistently shouldered more than its fair share of these responsibilities compared to other cities in Orange County. It is both unreasonable and inequitable to continue placing additional facilities of this nature within our city while other cities are not equally contributing.

Equally concerning is the lack of transparency and engagement with our residents and city leadership. Attempting to move forward with a project of this magnitude without proper input from the community undermines public trust and disregards the voices of those most directly impacted.

Santa Ana residents deserve to be heard. Decisions that affect our neighborhoods must involve open dialogue, fairness, and shared responsibility across all 34 cities in the county.

I firmly reject this proposal and call on the County Supervisors to immediately stop all efforts to locate this facility in Santa Ana and pursue a fair distribution of such programs across other Orange County cities.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best Regards,

Paul Roberts
Shoreline Motoring, Inc.
5842 Research Drive
Huntington Beach, CA 92649
Ph# (714) 698-1373

proberts@shorelinemotoring.com

www.shorelinemotoring.com

Confidentiality Notice: This message and any attachments within are intended only for the use of the intended recipient(s), are confidential, and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, retransmission, conversion to hard copy, copying, circulation or other use of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return email, and delete this message and any attachments from your system.

Guillen, Dora

From: Valerie Amezcua
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 8:06 AM
To: 'cbinla'; COB_Response
Cc: Sarmiento, Vicente; Nunez, Alvaro
Subject: RE: Item #S94W Proposed Re-entry Center - North Sana Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you

Valerie Amezcua | Mayor

City of Santa Ana | 20 Civic Center Plaza | Santa Ana, CA 92701
Office: (714) 647-6910 Direct
Cell: (714) 745-6345
<http://www.santa-ana.org/>

From: cbnla <chris1brisk@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 7:28 AM
To: cob.response@cob.oc.gov
Cc: vicente.sarmiento@ocgov.com; Nunez, Alvaro <ANunez@santa-ana.org>; Amezcua, Valerie <VAmezcua@santa-ana.org>
Subject: Item #S94W Proposed Re-entry Center - North Sana Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside of City of Santa Ana. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, The Honorable Doug Chaffee, Chair, The Honorable Katrina Foley, Vice Chair, The Honorable Vicente Sarmiento, The Honorable Janet Nguyen, and The Honorable Donald P. Wagner,

I am a long time resident of Santa Ana and Vice President of the Morrison Park Neighborhood Association, Both my wife, Karen, and I were disappointed to learn of the proposed Coordinated Reentry Center planned at 3030 Hesperian Way in the City of Santa Ana, along with other MPNA board members. The residents of the City, including the Mayor and City Manager had no advanced notice of the plans for the reentry center. It is even more disturbing to learn that the project has been in the works for many years with project approval in 2019..

We understand that programs like the proposed offer many benefits, a better location for this center is needed.

Santa Ana already has two shelters, works with OC Care to provide mobile medical care to the unhoused, has sober living facilities and a dedicated Quality of Life Team in addition to other services. As you know, Santa Ana also has the Federal Courthouse and Jail which bring additional challenges to the City. The concern about the impact this facility will have on our City services is valid. as well as the safety of our neighborhoods.

The City of Santa Ana has done more than its share and should not be expected to take on more when other Cities have not participated to the same extent.

Both my wife and I strongly urge that the funding on this project be cancelled or at minimum, delayed and that alternative locations be identified.

Respectfully,

Chris and Karen Briskorn
1138 W. Park Lane
Santa Ana, CA 92706

Guillen, Dora

From: Joselyn M <gigissmashingpinatas@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 8:31 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Item #S94W
Attachments: letter to City - Re-entry program .pdf

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

Hope your morning is going well. Please see the attached letter in regards the Re-Entry Program.

If there are any questions, please let me know.

Thank you,

Gigi's Smashing Piñatas

Date: June 23, 2026

To: The City Council and Community Development Department
City of Santa Ana
20 Civic Center Plaza
Santa Ana, CA 92701

Subject: Expressing Support, Funding Demands, and Safety Recommendations for the
Proposed Adult Re-Entry Center (3030 N. Hesperian Way)

To the Members of the City Council and City Leadership,

I am writing to express my strong support for the proposed conversion of the facility at 3030 N. Hesperian Way into an Adult Re-Entry Center for individuals transitioning back into society on probation or parole.

As a resident who believes deeply in the power of second chances, I view this reform program as a vital opportunity. Santa Ana has always been a remarkably supportive, tight-knit, and helpful community, making our city the ideal environment to lead by example. If we can build a successful model for rehabilitation here, we can pave the way for other cities across Southern California to dismantle the counterproductive stigmas surrounding reform and re-entry.

A structured re-entry program provides individuals who are ready to rebuild their lives with the essential tools, stable housing, and guidance needed to successfully reintegrate. True public safety is achieved not by shutting doors, but by ensuring those returning to our community have a clear, supervised path to becoming productive neighbors.

However, because this initiative represents a completely new model of reform for our local area, it is clear that Santa Ana is essentially acting as the proving ground—or a test subject—for a brand-new pilot concept. Because our city is taking on the unique responsibility and potential risks of this initial experiment, it is only fair that Santa Ana receives substantial external funding and financial compensation from the county or state. This compensation is necessary to support our local infrastructure, reinforce city resources, and ensure the community is not left carrying the financial or logistical burden of a trial program alone.

To ensure this new initiative is a success and to balance our community's compassion with robust public safety, I urge the City to mandate the following proactive safety measures as a condition of the program:

- **Continuous Electronic Monitoring:** Rather than relying solely on mobile check-ins or smartphone applications—which can easily be misplaced, left behind, or powered down—the facility should mandate the use of secure, ankle-worn GPS tracking bracelets for residents during their transitional phases. This ensures tamper-resistant, real-time accountability.
- **Structured Curfews and Check-ins:** Establish strict, non-negotiable facility curfews alongside structured daily schedules that integrate mandatory job training, counseling, and community service.
- **Transparent Community Liaison:** Create a dedicated city or neighborhood liaison panel to maintain an open dialogue with local residents, providing transparent updates on facility operations and safety data.

By combining an incredibly supportive community environment with strict accountability, external financial support, and modern tracking measures, we can ensure this facility is both a safe neighbor and a beacon of real reform. Thank you for your time, your leadership, and your dedication to moving Santa Ana forward.

Sincerely,

Gigis Smashing Pinatas

gigissmashingpinatas@gmail.com

Guillen, Dora

From: JOHN RAMIREZ <jpramirez714@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 8:35 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Item S94W Santa Ana Re-Entry Program

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is John Ramirez and I am a life long resident living in the city of Santa Ana. I ask that you please stop plans to install a Re-Entry program that is currently being considered to be implemented within our city limits. For years, Santa Ana has been chosen as the main city to shoulder the responsibility of having programs such as this. Why are other surrounding cities not considered? Why are we always chosen as the destination for these programs? I believe this program should be halted until further community input and information is given and/or other surrounding cities are given serious consideration for accepting this program within their city. Please vote to stop or suspend consideration of having this program installed here.

Thank You,

John

Guillen, Dora

From: William Beaubeaux <wbeaubeaux@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 8:44 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Please vote no on proposed re-entry facility

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear OC Supervisors,

Please vote no on the proposed re-entry facility in Santa Ana. Santa Ana has over its fair share of rehabilitation residents and places a strain on our public resources. It's time for other County Cities to house these programs. To even suggest this type of housing in our city without the input of representatives of Santa Ana is reckless and ill-advised.

Sincerely

William Beaubeaux

City of Sant Ana resident

I urge you to vote no.

Sent from my iPhone