

Guillen, Dora

From: Judith Romero <jagrromero52@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 12:43 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Re-Entry Facility in Santa Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to you as a resident of Santa Ana for 18 years. I want to express my strong opposition to the proposed re-entry program facility in our city. Santa Ana already has more than its fair share of county-run facilities. There are 33 other cities in Orange County. Certainly one of them could just as easily take on this type of facility. It seems inequitable to continue placing additional facilities of this nature here especially when we already have re-entry program facilities, shelters, and correctional services. I am very concerned about the responsibility of our Police Department to monitor this facility when its already stretched in many directions. In addition, this facility will have a negative impact on the surrounding businesses.

Santa Ana residents deserve to be heard. Decisions that affect our neighborhoods must involve open dialogue, fairness and shared responsibility across all cities - not just Santa Ana.

I firmly reject the Proposal and call on the Couty Supervisors to immediately stop all efforts to locate this facility here in Santa Ana and pursue a fair distribution of such programs across other Orange County cities.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Judith A Romero
Rosewood Avenue, Santa Ana

Guillen, Dora

From: Ana Covarrubias <covarrubiasana82@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 12:03 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Re-Entry Facility in Santa Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing as a resident of Santa Ana for more than 25 years to express my strong opposition to the proposed re-entry program facility in our city. Santa Ana has long carried a disproportionate share of county-run facilities, including re-entry programs, shelters, and correctional services. Our community has consistently shouldered more than its fair share of these responsibilities compared to other cities in Orange County. It is both unreasonable and inequitable to continue placing additional facilities of this nature within our city while other cities are not equally contributing.

Equally concerning is the lack of transparency and engagement with our residents and city leadership. Attempting to move forward with a project of this magnitude without proper input from the community undermines public trust and disregards the voices of those most directly impacted.

Santa Ana residents deserve to be heard. Decisions that affect our neighborhoods must involve open dialogue, fairness, and shared responsibility across all 34 cities in the county. I firmly reject this proposal and call on the County Supervisors to immediately stop all efforts to locate this facility in Santa Ana and pursue a fair distribution of such programs across other Orange County cities.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Guillen, Dora

From: Laura Dardashti <ldardash@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 11:28 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: opposition to another re-entry facility to be located in Santa Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom it May Concern:

I am a resident of Santa Ana and retired employee of the California Department of State Hospitals. I have a vast amount of experience working with those who have been convicted of crimes and sentenced to CDCR as well as those who were forensically committed to our state hospital system. As such, I strongly disapprove of an adult re-entry facility at the former Youth Guidance Center (3030 N. Hesperian Way, Santa Ana, CA 92706)..

- Santa Ana already hosts a disproportionate share of county facilities, social service programs and specifically, re-entry programs.
- City officials were not meaningfully consulted before the project advanced.
- Nearby residents, schools, and businesses were largely unaware of the proposal and therefore have not had an opportunity to comment.
- The Santa Ana Police Department has expressed concerns regarding future public safety impacts and increased demands on city resources.

Please seek out another location in parts of the County that do not proportionally host facilities or support social service programs in their communities. It is clear to me that higher socioeconomic areas of the County have *not* been required to share in this responsibility and their political and economic clout influences decisions to locate these programs in lower income neighborhoods instead. I would also like to note that Santa Ana pays the highest sales tax in the County. It seems odd to me that those tax dollars come with an additional punishment of a re-entry facility. Absolutely should not happen.

We oppose having another re-entry facility located in Santa Ana.

Sincerely,

Laura Dardashti, MD

Guillen, Dora

From: MEIVER GUTIERREZ <meivergut@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 11:13 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Re-Entry Facility in Santa Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing as a resident of Santa Ana for more than 12 years to express my strong opposition to the proposed re-entry program facility in our city.

Santa Ana has long carried a disproportionate share of county-run facilities, including re-entry programs, shelters, and correctional services. Our community has consistently shouldered more than its fair share of these responsibilities compared to other cities in Orange County. It is both unreasonable and inequitable to continue placing additional facilities of this nature within our city while other cities are not equally contributing.

Equally concerning is the lack of transparency and engagement with our residents and city leadership. Attempting to move forward with a project of this magnitude without proper input from the community undermines public trust and disregards the voices of those most directly impacted.

Santa Ana residents deserve to be heard. Decisions that affect our neighborhoods must involve open dialogue, fairness, and shared responsibility across all 34 cities in the county.

I firmly reject this proposal and call on the County Supervisors to immediately stop all efforts to locate this facility in Santa Ana and pursue a fair distribution of such programs across other Orange County cities.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

Guillen, Dora

From: Shandell Maxwell <shandell.maxwell@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 10:47 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: S94W

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

For Topic S94W

I am requesting a pathway be established for implementation. Award a sole-source contract to First 5 OC so BreastfeedLA can continue operating Black Infant Health OC without interruption.

Shandell Maxwell, PhD

Guillen, Dora

From: Christina Dorsa-Smith <dorsasmithzoo@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 10:16 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Re: Item #S94W Proposed Re-entry Center - North Sana Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.



Christina reacted via [Gmail](#)

On Tue, Jun 23, 2026, 7:06 AM COB_Response <COB.Response@cob.oc.gov> wrote:

I can open it. thank you

From: Christina Dorsa-Smith <dorsasmithzoo@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2026 6:18 PM
To: COB_Response <COB.Response@cob.oc.gov>
Subject: Re: Item #S94W Proposed Re-entry Center - North Sana Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for the email.

The word document has an issue and I am not able to open it either. I am attaching the most updated letter dated June 18th as a pdf.

Please confirm that you are able to open it.

Thank you.

On Mon, Jun 22, 2026 at 2:36 PM COB_Response <COB.Response@cob.oc.gov> wrote:

Hello Ms. Dorsa-Smith,

**We are unable to open the word document you attached. Can you please re-send?
Perhaps send as a pdf?**

From: Christina Dorsa-Smith <dorsasmithzoo@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, June 22, 2026 1:51 PM

To: COB_Response <COB.Response@cob.oc.gov>

Cc: Sarmiento, Vicente <vicente.sarmiento@bos2.oc.gov>; Valerie Amezcua <vamezcua@santa-ana.org>; Nunez, Alvaro <ANunez@santa-ana.org>

Subject: Item #S94W Proposed Re-entry Center - North Sana Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, The Honorable Doug Chaffee, Chair, The Honorable Katrina Foley, Vice Chair, The Honorable Vicente Sarmiento, The Honorable Janet Nguyen, and The Honorable Donald P. Wagner,

As a long time resident of Santa Ana and President of the Morrison Park Neighborhood Association, I was very disappointed to learn of the proposed Coordinated Reentry Center planned at [3030 Hesperian Way](#) in the City of Santa Ana. The residents of the City, including the Mayor and City Manager had no advanced notice of the plans for the reentry center. It is even more disturbing to learn that the project has been in the works for many years with project approval in 2019..

Although I agree that programs like the proposed offer many benefits, a better location for this center is needed.

Santa Ana already has two shelters, works with OC Care to provide mobile medical care to the unhoused, has sober living facilities and a dedicated Quality of Life Team in addition to other services. As you know, Santa Ana also has the Federal Courthouse and Jail which bring additional challenges to the City. The concern about the impact this facility will have on our City services is valid. as well as the safety of our neighborhoods.

The City of Santa Ana has done more than its share and should not be expected to take on more when other Cities have not participated to the same extent.

I strongly request that the funding on this project be cancelled or at minimum, delayed and that alternative locations be identified.

Respectfully,

Christina M. Dorsa-Smith

[2834 Olive Lane](#)

[Santa Ana, CA 92706](#)

P.S. The June 8th letter from the eight nearby neighborhood associations is also attached.

Guillen, Dora

From: Guadalupe Adame <gadame82@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 10:08 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Santa Ana Re-entry program

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am a 44 year resident of Santa Ana born and raised. Santa Ana has had its fair share of problems its time for another city to step up and handle these issues as well. What about Irvine or Tustin? Huntington Beach is nice so is Costa Mesa right? Santa Ana has been in the map lately with violence and shootings rising and WE DONT NEED THIS RE-ENTRY PROGRAM HERE!! Thank you for understanding.

Guillen, Dora

From: Isaiah Bernal <isaiah.bernal17@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 9:31 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Adult Re-Entry Center in Santa Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a Santa Ana resident and respectfully urge you to vote against the proposed Adult Re-Entry Center in our city. Santa Ana should not continue to be the county's dumping ground for services and facilities that other cities are unwilling to host.

Our city already carries a disproportionate burden, including higher levels of homelessness and mental health challenges than many other communities in Orange County. We have our own pressing issues that require attention and resources. Other cities should share in this responsibility rather than placing additional strain on Santa Ana residents.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Santa Ana Resident

Guillen, Dora

From: Jacky Montero <monterojacky01@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 9:29 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Opposition to proposed Adult Re-entry center

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Best Regards,
Jacky

Guillen, Dora

From: Amy Raphael <amyraph@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 8:57 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Reject Adult re entry facility at former Youth Guidance Center

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Members of the Orange County Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed adult re-entry facility at the former Youth Guidance Center in Santa Ana.

I support rehabilitation and second chances for individuals reentering society. However, I do not support placing yet another county-operated facility in Santa Ana without meaningful community engagement, transparency, or a fair evaluation of alternative locations. Santa Ana already carries a disproportionate share of county facilities and social service programs, and our residents deserve equitable treatment.

The lack of meaningful consultation with city officials, the limited public awareness of the proposal, and the concerns expressed by the Santa Ana Police Department, Mayor Valerie Amezcuca, Supervisor Vicente Sarmiento, neighborhood leaders, businesses, and residents should not be ignored.

This is not a question of whether rehabilitation programs are important—they are. It is a question of fairness, transparency, and ensuring that decisions affecting our neighborhoods are made with meaningful public input and shared responsibility across the county.

I respectfully urge the Board to pause this proposal, fully engage the community, and thoroughly evaluate alternative locations before moving forward.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

SANTA RESIDENT
Amy Raphael

Guillen, Dora

From: Laura Ward <andmendez87@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 12:49 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Santa Ana Re-Entry Program

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom it may concern,

I am writing as a concerned resident of Santa Ana who has proudly called this city home for the past 38 years.

I understand that the City is considering the development of an Adult reentry program facility, and I would like to respectfully express my concerns regarding this proposal. While I recognize the importance of supporting individuals who are reentering society and helping them become productive members of the community, I believe it is essential that the City carefully evaluate the potential impacts on surrounding neighborhoods, public safety, local resources, and overall quality of life for residents.

As a longtime resident, I have witnessed many changes in Santa Ana and care deeply about the well-being of our community. Before moving forward with this project, I urge the City to provide residents with clear information regarding the facility's operations, security measures, funding sources, expected capacity, and plans to address any potential concerns from nearby neighborhoods.

I also encourage the City to ensure that community members have meaningful opportunities to participate in public discussions and decision-making processes related to this project. Residents deserve transparency and a thorough assessment of how this facility may affect the community both in the short and long term.

Thank you for your time and consideration of my concerns. I appreciate your service to our city and respectfully ask that the voices of longtime residents be carefully considered as decisions regarding this proposal are made.

Sincerely,

Laura Ward

Guillen, Dora

From: Rishi Chadha <rchadha987@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 2:33 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Re-Entry Facility in Santa Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing as a resident of Santa Ana for more than 5 years to express my **strong opposition to the proposed re-entry program facility in our city.**

Santa Ana has long carried a disproportionate share of county-run facilities, including re-entry programs, shelters, and correctional services. Our community has consistently shouldered more than its fair share of these responsibilities compared to other cities in Orange County. It is both unreasonable and inequitable to continue placing additional facilities of this nature within our city while other cities are not equally contributing.

Equally concerning is the lack of transparency and engagement with our residents and city leadership. Attempting to move forward with a project of this magnitude without proper input from the community undermines public trust and disregards the voices of those most directly impacted.

Santa Ana residents deserve to be heard. Decisions that affect our neighborhoods must involve open dialogue, fairness, and shared responsibility across all 34 cities in the county.

I firmly reject this proposal and call on the County Supervisors to immediately stop all efforts to locate this facility in Santa Ana and pursue a fair distribution of such programs across other Orange County cities.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best,

Rishi Chadha

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this e-mail and any attachments may be confidential. If you are not an

intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify me and permanently delete the e-mail and any attachments immediately. You should not retain, copy or use this e-mail or any attachment for any purpose, nor disclose all or any part of the contents to any other person. This email can be used as a legal contracts for business agreements made.

Guillen, Dora

From: Christina M <celestialcitra38@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 9:14 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: re: Santa Ana Re-Entry Program

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am a resident of Santa Ana, and I oppose the the construction of the center.

The City of Santa Ana needs to be cleaned up and focused on making it a better thriving community. We do not need to be adding more of a mess and be a trial ground for this new Re-entry program.

While I do believe that programs like this are needed, it should be in a different city, not a city that is already struggling taking care of their community.

Please oppose this program and put a stop to it.

Christina Martinez
92704 resident