

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: CV <cvo15885@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2026 5:39 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Funding returned from the Andrew Do Case

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello Supervisors,

My name is Chuong Vo and I am writing to request that the money that is being returned to the county of Orange from the settlement of the Andrew Do's case be sent back to District one residence because that money was allocated before to the district one supervisor. The residents of district one was a victim of Andrew Do's illegal act when he took that money away from the residents, I don't want them to be victims again. That money was set for district 1 residents and it should remain in district one.

Thank you,

Chuong Vo

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Marianne Book <mariannebook@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 11:05 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Return money to 1st district

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a 47 year resident of Fountain Valley, it is only right that the Board of Supervisors vote to return the 3.7 million that was stolen from the 1st district by Andrew Do be returned to the 1st district. Do not allow this money to be given away to other districts and victimize the 1st district again.
Marianne Book

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Eve Garrow <EGarrow@aclusocal.org>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 10:42 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Written public comment: June 9 budget hearing
Attachments: ACLU SoCal letter-OC budget hearing 6-9-26.docx

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To whom it may concern,

Please see my public comment for the June 9, 2026 Orange County Budget Hearing attached and below.

Eve Garrow, Senior Policy Analyst and Advocate
ACLU of Southern California
June 9, 2026

Chair Chaffee and Honorable Supervisors
Orange County Board of Supervisors
400 W. Civic Center Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92701

Re: Public Comment on Housing, Houselessness, and Recommended Budget Priorities

Dear Chair Chaffee and Supervisors,

My name is Eve Garrow. I am a Policy Analyst and Advocate with the ACLU of Southern California and a volunteer with HHROC and other local and state coalitions.

I want to begin with a basic truth: houselessness is, first and foremost, a housing problem. California is home to about 50% of the nation's unsheltered population because the state stopped building enough housing in its coastal metros beginning in the 1980s, around the same time the federal government gutted subsidized affordable housing programs by roughly 80%.

Research shows that houselessness rates rise when average housing costs exceed about 30% of average incomes. In the Orange County metro area, about 57% of renter households pay more than 30% of their income toward rent. Among extremely low-income households, 81% pay more than half of their income toward rent. Put simply, these households are at serious risk of losing their housing and becoming unhoused.

By contrast, houselessness does not correlate with factors often raised in public debate, such as drug use or weather.

If your Board were serious about ending homelessness, you would invest the discretionary dollars you control into closing the gap between what people can afford and the cost of market-rate housing. Yet in all my years of Orange County budget advocacy, I have not seen this Board allocate meaningful discretionary funding to affordable housing.

Instead, the County continues to overinvest in systems of social control — what it calls public protection. This year, your board allocates about \$320 million of its recommended discretionary budget to the jails, including operations and medical care for people who are locked inside. By contrast, your recommended budget allocates zero discretionary dollars to housing programs, including vouchers and affordable housing funds.

Budgets are moral documents, and this budget does not reflect the community's moral values.

And what do those choices produce? Unhoused community members make up one-fifth of one percent of Orange County residents, yet they have experienced a 40% increase in their incarceration rate since 2022 and account for 28% of all non-vehicular sheriff's stops in 2024. These statistics reflect the County's approach to housing insecurity: criminalize people for living in public and disappear them into jails. It is cruel, violent, and ineffective.

Finally, I want to address the county's recent claim that 89% of the discretionary budget is "mandated." That is misleading. While it is true that some discretionary dollars are allocated to programs such as the Sheriff's Department that are tied to mandated functions, there is no mandate requiring the Board to allocate any specific amount of discretionary dollars to public protection. That is a policy choice.

I urge you to stop deflecting responsibility and to create a budget that truly reflects the moral values of this county.

Sincerely,

Eve Garrow

Senior Policy Analyst and Advocate
ACLU of Southern California

Eve Garrow

Pronouns: She, Her, Hers


Senior Policy Analyst & Advocate, *Dignity for All Project*

ACLU of Southern California | 714-450-3967 | @EveGarrow

aclusocal.org || [facebook](#) || [twitter](#) || [blog](#)

The ACLU: Stand for Justice

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.



Southern California

Eve Garrow, Senior Policy Analyst and Advocate
ACLU of Southern California
June 9, 2026

Chair Chaffee and Honorable Supervisors
Orange County Board of Supervisors
400 W. Civic Center Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92701

Re: Public Comment on Housing, Houselessness, and Recommended Budget Priorities

Dear Chair Chaffee and Supervisors,

My name is Eve Garrow. I am a Policy Analyst and Advocate with the ACLU of Southern California and a volunteer with HHROC and other local and state coalitions.

I want to begin with a basic truth: houselessness is, first and foremost, a housing problem. California is home to about 50% of the nation's unsheltered population because the state stopped building enough housing in its coastal metros beginning in the 1980s, around the same time the federal government gutted subsidized affordable housing programs by roughly 80%.

Research shows that houselessness rates rise when average housing costs exceed about 30% of average incomes. In the Orange County metro area, about 57% of renter households pay more than 30% of their income toward rent. Among extremely low-income households, 81% pay more than half of their income toward rent. Put simply, these households are at serious risk of losing their housing and becoming unhoused.

By contrast, houselessness does not correlate with factors often raised in public debate, such as drug use or weather.

If your Board were serious about ending houselessness, you would invest the discretionary dollars you control into closing the gap between what people can afford and the cost of market-rate housing. Yet in all my years of Orange County budget advocacy, I have not seen this Board allocate meaningful discretionary funding to affordable housing.

Instead, the County continues to overinvest in systems of social control — what it calls public protection. This year, your board allocates about \$320 million of its recommended discretionary budget to the jails, including operations and medical care for people who are locked inside. By contrast, your recommended budget allocates zero discretionary dollars to housing programs, including vouchers and affordable housing funds.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Chandra S. Bhatnagar

PRESIDENT Carlos Amador VICE PRESIDENT Malek Moazzam-Doulat
CHAIRS EMERITI Michele Goodwin Marla Stone Shari Leinwand Stephen Rohde Danny Goldberg Allan K. Jonas* Burt Lancaster*
Irving Lichtenstein, MD* Jarl Mohn Laurie Ostrow* Stanley K. Sheinbaum*

*deceased

Budgets are moral documents, and this budget does not reflect the community's moral values.

And what do those choices produce? Unhoused community members make up one-fifth of one percent of Orange County residents, yet they have experienced a 40% increase in their incarceration rate since 2022 and account for 28% of all non-vehicular sheriff's stops in 2024. These statistics reflect the County's approach to housing insecurity: criminalize people for living in public and disappear them into jails. It is cruel, violent, and ineffective.

Finally, I want to address the county's recent claim that 89% of the discretionary budget is "mandated." That is misleading. While it is true that some discretionary dollars are allocated to programs such as the Sheriff's Department that are tied to mandated functions, there is no mandate requiring the Board to allocate any specific amount of discretionary dollars to public protection. That is a policy choice.

I urge you to stop deflecting responsibility and to create a budget that truly reflects the moral values of this county.

Sincerely,

Eve Garrow

Senior Policy Analyst and Advocate
ACLU of Southern California

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Angela Ochoa <angochoa@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 10:45 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: The returned funds from District One

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello-

I'm writing as a concerned citizen of Fountain Valley, regarding the return of funds recovered from Do's scheme. While I understand that the funds were originally earmarked for Covid relief, it is my belief that they should remain in the District they were originally allocated to. It is wrong to utilize them to support other budget shortfalls elsewhere and the residents of District One are entitled to funds and services they were supposed to receive. This money was stolen from those most in need and the only way to begin to correct this egregious wrong is to return the funds where they belong. I am shocked that I have to write a letter to explain this, it seems obvious that when a crime is committed, the victims should be the party to receive the recovery and restitution.

I am urging you to make the ethically correct decision and return the funds to the District One and the citizens it was supposed to provide services for.

Regards,
Angela Ochoa

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Martha Molina-Aviles <marthamolinaaviles@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 10:13 PM
To: COB_Response
Cc: Riverview
Subject: Opposition Letter for Item 11-Project V-Coordinated Reentry Center
Attachments: Document (1).docx

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Attached please find an opposition letter from 8 Neighborhood Associations in Santa Ana.

Thank you.

Sent from my iPad

June 8, 2026

The Honorable Doug Chaffee, Chair

The Honorable Katrina Foley, Vice Chair

The Honorable Vicente Sarmiento

The Honorable Janet Nguyen

The Honorable Donald P. Wagner

400 W. Civic Center Drive

Santa Ana, California 92701

Subject: Coordinated Reentry Center: Public Hearing Item 11-I. Program V Capital Improvements

Dear Supervisors:

We represent numerous neighborhoods in Santa Ana as presidents of our respective Neighborhood Associations. We are in opposition of the Coordinated Reentry Center planned at 3030 Hesperian Way in the City of Santa Ana.

Our associations work very diligently to maintain the best quality of life through direct involvement with our neighbors and our city leadership and staff. We spend our personal time attending meetings and staying informed of all projects that affect our neighborhoods. We are proud and grateful for all the work that we collectively complete through monthly meetings with our Mayor Valerie Amezcua and holding regular neighborhood meetings where our city and county staff attend to inform us of projects.

Unfortunately, with respect to the Reentry Center, we were not notified or provided any information about this project. The location is only 1 mile away from most of our neighborhoods and the plan to operate the facility on a 24/7 schedule is unacceptable.

We ask that the Board of Supervisors reconsider this project, meet with our neighborhoods and postpone any funding decisions.

We greatly appreciate your favorable consideration.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Katz, Floral Park Neighborhood Association

Christina Dorsa-Smith, Morrison Park Neighborhood Association

Jami Cortez, West Floral Park Neighborhood Association

Martha Molina, Riverview Neighborhood Association

Joanna Peterson, Casa de Santiago Neighborhood Association

Marnie Schnapel and Dale Helvig, Park Santiago Neighborhood Association

Kayden Muzila, Riverview Glen Neighborhood Association

Randy Musser, Fisher Park Neighborhood Association

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Konya Vivanti <kvivanti@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 9:08 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: The \$3.7 Million Dollars Belongs to the First District!

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Chair Chaffee and the Orange County Board of Supervisors.

I am writing regarding the upcoming vote on the allocation of \$3.7 million in funds recovered from former Supervisor Andrew Do.

These funds were not Supervisor Do's to take. They were meant to support the people of the First District - our seniors, our families, local nonprofits, and the | community programs that were promised and never delivered. For five years, every other district used equivalent funds to invest in their own communities. First District residents were left out entirely. The other four Districts received their funds and should not get to take the funds that belong to another district.

I urge you to vote to return these funds to the First District as restitution for the community that was harmed.

This money should be invested transparently in local services, organizations, and programs through a public process the community can see and trust. These funds should be distributed on a per capita basis to each of the cities and the unincorporated areas in the First District.

The First District should not be robbed twice. The First District needs to be made whole and the funds need to be invested back into the First District . Please vote to return these funds to the people they were always intended for, the communities in the First District.

Thank you for your consideration.

Konya and Marco Vivanti
3311 Quail Run Road
Rossmoor, CA 90720

Sent from my iPhone

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Priscilla Huang
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 9:02 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Comments re: 6/9/2026 Agenda Item 11
Attachments: FINAL - ACT CPS One-Pager.pdf

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Members of the Orange County Board of Supervisors,

My name is Priscilla Huang, and I'm a resident of District 5. I write as the Executive Director of the Center for Asian Americans in Action and as a founding member of the Abundant Community Transformations (ACT) Coalition. In early 2026, ACT conducted a multilingual community priority survey to identify which county programs and services are most important to Orange County residents. Health care and mental health services ranked the highest priority area among survey respondents, who ranged in age from 14 to 65 years and older.

Health care coverage and access is important to me because everyone regardless of income, age or immigration status deserves to have a chance to thrive. Our health and social safety net systems are under attack, and our communities are at risk of losing access to care. Orange County has a significant population of immigrant and refugee community members will bear the brunt of these coverage losses.

We urge the Board to allocate additional funds to strengthen our indigent care and public health programs to ensure Orange County residents continue to receive preventive and urgent medical care. Investing in the health, safety and well-being of our most vulnerable communities is an investment in Orange County's future social and economic security.

In addition, we ask the Board to create more transparency and meaningful community engagement in the County's budget process by:


- Partnering with trusted community-based organizations to develop actionable solutions that address residents' needs and lived experience,
- Supporting policies that protect access to healthcare and promote economic security for all Orange County resident, and
- Establishing a Community Participatory Budget Council to provide community input and recommendations on discretionary spending decisions

A summary of ACT's survey results are included as an attachment. We look forward to future opportunities for engagement.

Best,
Priscilla

--

Priscilla Huang, JD (she/her)
Executive Director
Center for Asian Americans in Action
www.aaactioncenter.org



ACT Community Priority Survey

ACT is a countywide coalition of AA & NHPI serving nonprofits and community members who believe in fostering healthy, strong, and caring communities. Yet, with cost of living skyrocketing and cuts to essential safety net programs (Medi-Cal & CalFresh) our communities are at greater risk of being priced out of the homes and communities we love. Understanding and centering community voices in the budget process is the only way to achieve a better OC that serves the needs of all its residents. In 2025, Abundant Community Transformations (ACT) conducted a survey in English, Vietnamese, Spanish, and Korean with Orange County residents to learn which county programs and services are most important, overlooked, and which need more funding.

313 OC residents ages 14-65+ representing all 5 districts identified four priority areas.

1. Health Care & Mental Health Services

52%

Identified Health Care and Mental Health Services as being most important

54%

Consider these services underfunded or overlooked in OC

Respondents describe health and mental health services as important but often inaccessible, particularly due to cost, lack of insurance, and limited culturally responsive care.

"If OC had enough resources, everyone would be able to access health care and mental health services. Not only would these be affordable (ideally, they would be no cost!) [...]" District 2 resident

2. Housing & Homelessness



38%

Identified Housing & Homelessness as being most important


58%

Consider these services underfunded or overlooked in OC

"Housing for the older adults, housing individuals who have low-income, supporting nail salon owners - rental prices are too high," District 1 resident

Respondents consistently describe homelessness as highly prevalent in their communities, under-addressed, and perceive homelessness as a systemic issue that needs better interventions.

3. Education & Youth Programs



"The youth are the future of our community, yet many of them don't have access to the programs, opportunities, and a safe space for them to thrive. [...]" District 1 resident

46% Consider these services to be of high priority for investment

Among younger respondents, education and youth programs are closely tied to future stability and opportunities for upward economic mobility. Many youth identified limited access to internships, inequitable school funding, lack of structured opportunities for youth development, and lack of access via reliable and safe transportation.

4. Community Safety

30% Identified Community Safety as being most important

Several respondents shared that they value community safety. Many community members named over-policing and overspending on police as unnecessary and would rather see that money spent on accessible housing and resources for unhoused people, health care and mental health services, and youth programs. Respondents shared that increased ICE presence has drastically changed the the lives of community members, citing fear, worry, and lack of safety in their neighborhoods.

"The Board should not prioritize increased spending on policing, especially as the current federal administration continues targeting our communities. True public safety comes from meeting people's basic needs, not from over-policing."
District 2 Resident

Our Recommendations

- Collaborate with CBOs who are trusted members of the community to develop actionable steps in supporting the community member's needs.
- Support legislation that ensures protection of health care and economic security for all constituents of Orange County.
- Establish a Community Participatory Budget Council to advise on how discretionary funds are spent.

Special thanks to ACT's Core Partners, Vital Access Care Foundation (VACF), and ACT intern, Anthony Nocedal, for their contributions to the Community Priority Survey. CONTACT: aliyah@act-oc.org



Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Rose Cerda <rcerda68@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 8:57 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Don't make the First District Pay Twice: Return the \$3.7 Million

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to return the recovered \$3.7 million to the First District.

These funds were originally allocated to benefit the First District and its residents. Our community was already harmed when these funds were stolen. It would be a shame if, after finally being recovered, the money were diverted elsewhere rather than restored to the community that lost it.

Returning these funds to the First District is not only the right thing to do—it is the just thing to do. The residents of the First District should not be asked to bear the loss twice: first through the theft itself and then through a decision to redirect the recovered funds to other purposes. Other districts have already had the opportunity to utilize their allocated resources, while the First District has been deprived of theirs.

This decision is about fairness, accountability, and honoring the original intent of these funds. Restoring the money to the First District would demonstrate the Board's commitment to treating all communities equitably and ensuring that those who were wronged are made whole.

I respectfully ask you to vote to return the recovered \$3.7 million to the First District and allow these funds to serve the residents for whom they were originally intended.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Rose Guevara

14 year Orange County resident

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Kim Kerwin <khkerwin@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 8:33 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Return the \$3.7 mil to 1st District

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

My name is Kim Kerwin. I am a resident/homeowner in Huntington Beach, as part of the First District. My family and I request the \$3.7 Million recovered fund to be returned to the First District. Former OC Supervisor Do took the funds from the 1st district, so any and all recovered funds must be returned to the 1st district to serve the community who resides in the district.

We strongly urge the OC Supervisors to do the right thing!!

Sincerely,
Kim Kerwin

Sent from my iPhone

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Elaine Q <eq728@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 8:27 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Return of Recovered Funds to the First District

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Chair and Members of the Orange County Board of Supervisors:

My name is Elaine Quach, and I am a resident of Huntington Beach. I am pleased to learn that the federal government has recovered \$3.7 million stolen by convicted felon. Andrew Do and return returned those funds to the county of Orange.

Because these funds were taken from the First District, I respectfully urge the Chair and Board to return the recovered funds to the First District. Repurposing the money for other countywide uses would not fully remedy the harm done to First District residents.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Elaine Quach

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Jennifer Greenlief <greenlief4@me.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 8:08 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Returning funds to First District Residents

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Subject: Please Return the Recovered Funds to First District Residents

Dear Chair and Members of the Orange County Board of Supervisors,

My name is Jennifer Greenlief, and I am a resident of Huntington Beach. I am writing to urge you to return the recovered \$3.7 million to the First District communities for which those funds were originally intended.

The federal government successfully recovered money that was taken from programs meant to benefit First District residents. Those dollars were designated to support services and opportunities for our children, seniors, veterans, families, and local neighborhoods. Now that the funds have been recovered, I believe they should be restored to the communities that were originally meant to receive them.

Residents of the First District should not bear the lasting consequences of actions they had no part in. Returning these funds to the First District is a matter of fairness and accountability. It would help rebuild trust and ensure that the people who were deprived of these resources finally receive the benefits that were intended for them.

While I understand that needs exist throughout Orange County, this recovered money has a specific history and purpose. Restoring it to the First District honors that purpose and demonstrates the County's commitment to making affected communities whole.

I respectfully ask the Board to vote to return the recovered funds to the First District and use them to support programs and services that directly benefit the residents who were originally intended to receive them.

Thank you for your time, consideration, and service to Orange County.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Greenlief

Huntington Beach Resident

Sent from my iPhone

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Kerry Meyer <kerrymeyer04@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 7:43 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: First District Funds

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The \$3.7 million recovered by the federal government should be returned to the First District because those funds were originally intended to serve First District residents. The money was taken from programs and services that were meant to benefit local children, seniors, veterans, and families. Returning the recovered funds to the district restores resources that were wrongfully lost and helps make the affected communities whole.

This is not a question of creating a new funding source or reallocating surplus revenue. These dollars represent funds that were specifically designated for First District programs and priorities before they were stolen. The residents of the First District experienced the direct impact of that loss, and they should be the primary beneficiaries of its recovery.

Returning the funds to the First District also sends a clear message about accountability and public trust. When public money is stolen from a community, recovery efforts should focus on restoring what was taken from that community. Redirecting the recovered funds elsewhere, regardless of the merits of other needs throughout the county, would fail to address the original harm.

The Board of Supervisors has an opportunity to demonstrate fairness and integrity by ensuring that the recovered funds are used for the purpose they were originally intended. Reinvesting these dollars in services for children, seniors, veterans, and families within the First District honors that commitment and helps rebuild confidence that public resources will be protected and returned to the communities they are meant to serve.

For these reasons, the recovered \$3.7 million should be returned to the First District and invested in programs that directly benefit the residents who were deprived of those resources.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kerry Meyer

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Bob Chiavetta <da_kook@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 7:40 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Return the money

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am urging you to do the right thing and return the stolen funds from the first district as it was originally intended. 3.7 million dollars can help in so many areas. Its up to you to right a wrong. We are counting on you.

Bob Chiavetta

Fountain Valley

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Melissa Chiavetta <madmelsa@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 7:33 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Return the money

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I respectfully ask for your support in restoring the \$3.7 million in discretionary funding to the First District.

These funds were intended to serve residents and communities within the First District and should remain dedicated to the neighborhoods, nonprofits, public safety programs, community events, and local services that our constituents rely upon. The residents of the First District should not be penalized due to past controversies unrelated to the communities themselves.

This issue is not simply about funding allocation, it is about fairness, consistency, and ensuring that every district is treated equally. Restoring these funds would help reaffirm the Board's commitment to equitable representation and responsible stewardship of public resources.

The First District includes some of the county's most diverse and hardworking communities, many of which continue to face significant needs related to public safety, homelessness, senior services, youth programs, and neighborhood improvements. These funds would directly benefit the people we were elected to serve.

I respectfully urge the Board to support returning the \$3.7 million to the First District and to establish a fair precedent for how future recovered or reallocated discretionary funds are handled countywide.

Thank you for your consideration and continued service to Orange County.

Melissa Chiavetta
Fountain Valley
Sent from my iPhone

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Svoyles <Svoyles@socal.rr.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 7:11 PM
To: COB_Response
Cc: svoyles@socal.rr.com
Subject: Andrew Doe/ District 1 allocation

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to request that funds recovered by the federal government from the Andrew Doe case be allocated directly to District 1.

This restitution would help address the harm caused to local taxpayers and support essential community needs in the district. Directing these funds locally promotes justice, transparency, and effective use of recovered public assets.

I urge you to advocate for this allocation in relevant proceedings or decisions.

Thank you for your attention and service.

Scott Voyles
714-501-8784
Fountain Valley

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: SHERI HOBBS <sherijckh@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 6:54 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Return funds to First District

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Why this is even up to debate is beyond me. The \$3.7mil recovered from Andrew Do stealing it, should go right back where it came from. When something is stolen and recovered, it is returned to its rightful owner. It's not divided up between others who think they should get a share.

Just put it back where it came from.

Sheri Hobbs

Fountain Valley, CA.

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: wquintanar
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 5:15 PM
To: COB_Response
Cc: Emeline Noda; Tanya Doby; Chet Simmons
Subject: 6/9/26 Board Agenda Comment
Attachments: 06-08-26 OC Board Funding Allocation.pdf

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Good Afternoon,

Please see provide the attached letter to the Board of Supervisors for consideration during their June 9, 2026, Board meeting.

Thank you.



Windmera Quintanar

City Clerk, MMC/Director of
Communications

City of Los Alamitos
(562) 431-3538, ext. 220
[My Digital Business Card](#)



Connect with me



CITY OF
Los Alamitos
California

3191 Katella Avenue
Los Alamitos, CA 90720-5600
Telephone: (562) 431-3538
FAX: (562) 493-1255
www.cityoflosalamitos.org

June 8, 2026

Orange County Board of Supervisors
400 W. Civic Center Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92701

**SUBJECT: REALLOCATION OF RECOVERED DISTRICT 1 FUNDS TO BENEFIT
DISTRICT 1 COMMUNITIES**

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the City Council and residents of the City of Los Alamitos, I respectfully urge the Orange County Board of Supervisors to return any recovered funds associated with the misuse of former District 1 discretionary funding to the communities of District 1 for reinvestment in local programs and services.

The approximately \$3.5 million in question was originally intended to benefit residents of Orange County, particularly those within District 1. As the Board considers options for the recovery and redistribution of these funds, we believe the most equitable and transparent approach is to ensure that the recovered money is returned to the communities that were originally intended to benefit from them.

The residents of District 1 should not bear the consequences of actions that deprived local communities of critical resources. Restoring these funds to District 1 would help fulfill the original public purpose for which they were allocated and provide meaningful benefits to residents who were ultimately denied those opportunities.

For the City of Los Alamitos, additional funding would allow us to expand and enhance services for one of our most vulnerable populations—our seniors. Specifically, the City is exploring a hot meal distribution program designed to provide nutritious, prepared meals to senior residents who may face food insecurity, mobility limitations, or other challenges that impact their access to healthy food. As the cost of living continues to rise, many seniors on fixed incomes are struggling to meet basic needs. Investments in programs that support their health, well-being, and independence provide lasting value to our community.


We recognize that there are many worthy needs throughout District 1, and we encourage the Board to establish a fair and transparent process that allows the cities and communities within the district to benefit from the recovered funds. Returning these resources to District 1 would not only restore public trust but would also ensure that the

funds are used for their intended purpose: improving the quality of life for District 1 residents.

Thank you for your consideration and for your commitment to responsible stewardship of public resources. We respectfully request that the Board prioritize the return of recovered District 1 funds to the District 1 communities from which these opportunities were lost.

Sincerely,

CITY OF LOS ALAMITOS

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Tanya Doby", is enclosed within a hand-drawn oval. The signature is stylized with a large initial "T" and a cursive "Doby".

Tanya Doby
Mayor

cc: *Los Alamitos City Council*
Orange County Board of Supervisors
District 1 Cities and Community Stakeholders

Esquivel, Alessandra

From: Kat and Keith Michael <KAM4PLUS@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2026 4:51 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Stolen Funds - First District

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Good afternoon, I am unable to attend the Board of Supervisor meeting scheduled tomorrow, June 9th. Therefore I kindly request in writing that the stolen funds from First District be returned to First district and not distributed elsewhere.

Respectfully, Kathryn Michael

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S22 Ultra 5G, an AT&T 5G smartphone
Get [Outlook for Android](#)