

Guillen, Dora

From: D Espi <dice4819@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2026 11:52 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Re-Entry Center 3030 Hesperian Way, Santa Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the Board of Supervisors for the County of Orange.

Santa Ana residents deserve the same level of care and consideration as do the other 34 cities in the County.

The City of Santa Ana should NOT continue to shoulder the responsibility of the entire county. It is completely reasonable to ask an alternative location be found outside of Santa Ana. We have done our part and continue to do so with all the services already provided within the city

I respectfully ask the County to stop ALL efforts to locate this facility in the City of Santa Ana and to find an alternative location to evenly distribute these resources ACROSS the County.

Thank you for your time and consideration,
Diana Espinoza

Guillen, Dora

From: Lyle Dale <lyledale@roadrunner.com>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2026 11:09 AM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Item #S94W Proposed Re-entry Center - North Santa Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

June 22, 2026

To: The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Re: Item #S94W Proposed Re-Entry Center – North Santa Ana

We would like to voice our opposition to the proposed Re-Entry Center that is to be sited at the former Youth Guidance Center in North Santa Ana. We live in the Morrison Park neighborhood of Santa Ana and we will be directly impacted by this proposed facility. Our opposition is based on the following:

1. There has been absolutely NO community outreach to inform the surrounding neighborhoods of this project. In fact at a meeting held at the Santa Ana Police Community Room on June 18th it was clear that neither the mayor of Santa Ana and staff, nor Supervisor Sarmiento were made aware of the proposed facility until just recently. Why is this so? Is the county trying to sneak this project in under the radar so local residents will not be aware until too late? Why is this? The local community deserves to be well informed and provided with an opportunity to give our input into a project like this.
2. We are concerned about the impact this facility will have on our city services. At the afore-mentioned meeting the city police chief voiced his concern about the impact this facility will have on his department as well as other first responders. Will the county help mitigate this impact? Will the sheriff's department step in to assist? We have our doubts that this will occur.
3. Finally, it seems that the city of Santa Ana does more than its fair share of handling county issues, and we are happy to do so. But it's long past time that other parts of the county share in the burden. Why can't this facility be placed in some other city. We'd like to see the county attempt to site it in south county! HA! Talk about an uproar!!! It seems that it is just easier for the county to stuff another problem down Santa Ana's throat.

Therefore we ask that the county postpone approval of this project until such time as the above issues can be addressed to the satisfaction of Santa Ana and its residents.

Sincerely,

Lyle and Denise Dale
2611 North Greenbrier Street
Santa Ana

Guillen, Dora

From: Autumn Browne <autumnbrowne21@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2026 12:17 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Subject: Opposition to Proposed Re-Entry Facility in Santa Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing, as a resident of Santa Ana for more than 26 years, to express my **strong opposition to the proposed re-entry program facility in our city.**

Santa Ana has long carried a disproportionate share of county-run facilities, including re-entry programs, shelters, and correctional services. Our community has consistently shouldered more than its fair share of these responsibilities, compared to other cities in Orange County. It is both unreasonable and inequitable to continue placing additional facilities of this nature within our city while other cities are not equally contributing.

Equally, concerning is the lack of transparency and engagement with our residents and city leadership. Attempting to move forward with a project of this magnitude without proper input from the community undermines public trust and disregards the voices of those most directly impacted.

Santa Ana residents deserve to be heard. Decisions that affect our neighborhoods must involve open dialogue, fairness, and shared responsibility across all 34 cities in the county.

I firmly reject this proposal and call on the County Supervisors to immediately stop all efforts to locate this facility in Santa Ana and pursue a fair distribution of such programs across other Orange County cities.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

~ Autumn Browne
West Floral Park, Santa Ana, CA

Guillen, Dora

From: rowedm6@aol.com
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2026 12:33 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: re entry facility

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

NO WAYNO NO NO! Have I made myself clear on this?

Guillen, Dora

From: Diana Abigail Cantoran-Perez <diana-22@berkeley.edu>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2026 1:31 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Re-Entry Facility in Santa Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing as a resident of Santa Ana for more than 20 years to express my strong opposition to the proposed re-entry program facility in our city.

Santa Ana has long carried a disproportionate share of county-run facilities, including re-entry programs, shelters, and correctional services. Our community has consistently shouldered more than its fair share of these responsibilities compared to other cities in Orange County. It is both unreasonable and inequitable to continue placing additional facilities of this nature within our city while other cities are not equally contributing.

Equally concerning is the lack of transparency and engagement with our residents and city leadership. Attempting to move forward with a project of this magnitude without proper input from the community undermines public trust and disregards the voices of those most directly impacted. Santa Ana residents deserve to be heard. Decisions that affect our neighborhoods must involve open dialogue, fairness, and shared responsibility across all 34 cities in the county. I firmly reject this proposal and call on the County Supervisors to immediately stop all efforts to locate this facility in Santa Ana and pursue a fair distribution of such programs across other Orange County cities. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Diana Cantoran-Perez | (she/her/ella)
Social Welfare B.A. / Public Policy Minor
Class of 2025
Environmental Health Researcher | [Latinxs and the Environment Initiative](#)
Environmental Justice Fellow | [College Corps](#)
E: diana-22@berkeley.edu



Guillen, Dora

From: Sandra Guillen <sandrag129@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2026 1:41 PM
To: COB_Response
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Re-Entry Facility in Santa Ana

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing as a resident of Santa Ana for more than 45 years to express my strong opposition to the proposed re-entry program facility in our city.

Santa Ana has long carried a disproportionate share of county-run facilities, including re-entry programs, shelters, and correctional services. Our community has consistently shouldered more than its fair share of these responsibilities compared to other cities in Orange County. It is both unreasonable and inequitable to continue placing additional facilities of this nature within our city while other cities are not equally contributing.

Equally concerning is the lack of transparency and engagement with our residents and city leadership. Attempting to move forward with a project of this magnitude without proper input from the community undermines public trust and disregards the voices of those most directly impacted.

Santa Ana residents deserve to be heard. Decisions that affect our neighborhoods must involve open dialogue, fairness, and shared responsibility across all 34 cities in the county.

I firmly reject this proposal and call on the County Supervisors to immediately stop all efforts to locate this facility in Santa Ana and pursue a fair distribution of such programs across other Orange County cities.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sandra